



[[Home](#)] [[Why VDARE.com / The White Doe?](#)] [[FAQ](#)] [[Blog](#)] [[e-Bulletins](#)] [[Contact Us](#)] [[VDARE.com People Pages](#)] [[Links](#)] [[Search](#)] [[Blog Search](#)] [[Archive](#)] [[Letters](#)] [[The VDARE Foundation](#)] [[Make A Tax-Deductible Contribution](#)]

[Peter Brimelow Archive](#)

 [Email a Friend...](#)
 [Printer Friendly Version...](#)

February 27, 2011

"It's Basically Over For Anglos" In Texas. Or Have They Not Yet Begun To Fight?

By [Peter Brimelow](#)

I've rarely seen so much email traffic as on this *Houston Chronicle* blog item [Texas demographer: 'It's basically over for Anglos'](#) [Posted by Gary Scharrer at February 24, 2011 04:16 PM].

"Two of every three Texas children are now non-Anglo and the trend line will become even more pronounced in the future, said [Steve] Murdock, former U.S. Census Bureau director and now director of the Hobby Center for the Study of Texas at Rice University.

Today's Texas population can be divided into two groups, he said. One is an old and aging

Anglo and the other is young and minority. Between 2000 and 2040, the state's public school enrollment will see a 15 percent decline in Anglo children while Hispanic children will make up a 213 percent increase, he said."

This is one of these stories whose sudden explosiveness puzzles people who work in the field. (See ex-Texan [Brenda Walker's](#) comments [here](#)). The demographic shift has long been [obvious](#) to anyone who cared to look—but, of course, no-one does care to look, certainly [not the conservative Establishment](#). I expect there will be a similar explosion when it's reported, [probably later this year](#), that a majority of births in the U.S. are non-white.

It's not clear from the *Houston Chronicle* report, but of course what is driving this demographic shift is immigration, both legal and illegal. Murdock says that **"about 6 percent of the state's population is [not documented](#)"** a.k.a. illegal, which means that at least 6 percent of births in Texas, probably significantly more, would [not contribute to American displacement](#) if the GOP simply [closed the birthright citizenship loophole](#). Plus, of course, the border could be sealed, the illegal population [eliminated through enforcement](#), and a [moratorium](#) imposed on legal immigration. It's not rocket science.

Additionally, there are [technical reasons](#) to suppose Texas Anglo dominance may last a lot longer than people think. And the national impact of Texas Mexifying could be cushioned by artful partition—what we at VDARE.com call **"[Sailermandering](#)"**. States are going to want to break up under the impact of immigration—southern Arizona's Democrats are the latest example. [[Could Baja Arizona be 51st state in US?](#), by Rhonda Bodfield and Andrea Kelly, *Arizona Daily Star*, February 24, 2011].

Nevertheless, the wages of [Bushism](#) are very bad for Texas. Significantly, although Murdock was speaking to

something called the [Texas] House Mexican American Legislative Caucus, which is now doubt happily planning redecoration for a wide range of government offices, he was frankly pessimistic about the effects of this transformation:

"The state's future looks bleak assuming the current trend line does not change because education and income levels for Hispanics lag considerably behind Anglos, he said.

"Unless the trend line changes, 30 percent of the state's labor force will not have even a high school diploma by 2040, he said. And the average household income will be about \$6,500 lower than it was in 2000. That figure is not inflation adjusted so it will be worse than what it sounds.

"It's a terrible situation that you are in. I am worried," Murdock said."

No nonsense here about the [ameliorative power of American education](#)! Murdock's assumption that trends continue, and that unskilled immigrants will tend to have unskilled children, is an implicit endorsement of [Professor Richard Lynn's national IQ thesis](#). I eschewed IQ arguments in [Alien Nation](#)—I figured I'd [made enough enemies](#)—but I did say (p.56) that if similar suggestions in [The Bell Curve](#) were right, America's post-1965 immigration disaster would be even more disastrous than I had demonstrated. Murdock obviously agrees.

Curiously, another powerful news item on Texas seemed to excite much less e-interest. I can't do better than quote [this excellent summary](#) by [William L. Houston](#) on the [Youth For Western Civilization](#) website:

"Don't miss this [wonderful new article](#) in the Texas Tribune about the state of immigration reform in Texas.

I've [long argued](#) that Texas is a ripe target

for restrictionists and rightwing activists who are interested in community organizing. I have been following the polling data out of the Lone Star State for more than a year now...

Among the results of the UT/TT poll:

- 53 percent of Texas voters would end birthright citizenship for illegal aliens. 37 percent oppose such changes. Interestingly enough, 63 percent of White Texans support ending birthright citizenship compared to 32 percent of Blacks and 34 percent of Hispanics.

- 69 percent of Texas voters oppose sanctuary cities. Only 17 percent of Texas voters support sanctuary cities. This includes a majority of White and Blacks in Texas and 42 percent of Hispanics.

- 87 percent of Texans support E-Verify. 70 percent "strongly favor" requiring employers to verify immigration status.

- 70 percent of Texans support requiring law enforcement officers to check the immigration status of people they suspect of being illegal aliens. Only 25 percent of Texas voters are opposed to an Arizona-style immigration law.

- 52 percent of Texans would prohibit businesses from soliciting day laborers at work sites.

- 59 percent of Texans want to get rid of the Texas DREAM Act. 27 percent want illegal aliens to receive in-state tuition in Texas.

- 54 percent of Texas voters oppose a "path to citizenship" for illegal aliens. This includes 41 percent who are "strongly

opposed." 31.4 percent of Whites, 48.5 percent of Blacks, and 61.3 percent of Hispanics support the "path to citizenship."

I really wish the "all is lost" crowd (who are so common on the internet) would reflect upon the implication of these numbers. A clear majority of Texans can see through the media bias and dislike illegal immigration and are outright hostile to the Cheap Labor Lobby and its ACLU and La Raza allies.

This poll was also conducted by the Texas Tribune which means it is likely skewed a few points left of center."

[Links in original].

Anglos, to coin a phrase, [have not yet begun to fight](#). They could. But will they in time?

I increasingly feel it hardly matters. This is all going to [end in tears](#) anyway.

To adapt [another phrase](#), the historic American nation (= Anglos) will [fight on the beaches](#), or it will fight in the hills. Even outnumbered, Anglos in Texas and America would be a formidable force—one which [probably could not be contained](#) within the current political framework.

[Peter Brimelow](#) ([email him](#)) is editor of [VDARE.COM](#) and author of the much-denounced [Alien Nation: Common Sense About America's Immigration Disaster](#), (Random House - 1995) and [The Worm in the Apple](#) (HarperCollins - 2003)

The articles on VDARE.com are brought to you by the VDARE Foundation. We are supported by generous donations from our readers. Contributions are tax deductible and appreciated. [Contribute...](#)

[\[Home\]](#) [\[Why VDARE.com / The White Doe?\]](#) [\[FAQ\]](#) [\[Blog\]](#) [\[e-Bulletins\]](#) [\[Contact Us\]](#) [\[VDARE.com People Pages\]](#) [\[Links\]](#) [\[Search\]](#) [\[Blog Search\]](#) [\[Archive\]](#) [\[Letters\]](#) [\[The VDARE Foundation\]](#) [\[Make A Tax-Deductible Contribution\]](#)

 [RSS 2.0 Feed...](#)

Copyright © 1999 - 2011 VDARE.com